1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 BERNARD HAMILTON, No. C-06-06268 CW (NJV) 8 Plaintiff, ORDER RE DOCKET NOS. 199 & 200 9 v. 10 OFFICER ADAMIK, et al., 11 Defendants. 12 13 14 Plaintiff has filed two "declarations" (Doc. Nos. 199 & 200) that have not been docketed as motions. The undersigned has reviewed them and addresses them briefly. Plaintiff filed a 15 16 declaration (1) requesting judicial notice of unspecified facts or documents, (2) notifying the undersigned that Plaintiff will argue at the hearing that Defendants committed fraud, and (3) in the 17 alternative moving to enforce the settlement agreement. Doc. No. 199. Plaintiff filed another 18 19 declaration as an "addendum" to Defendants' motion "or in the alternative, for a ruling upon 20 pleadings without holding formal hearing." Doc. No. 200. Plaintiff's two declarations incorporate 21 the same material he already has submitted to the undersigned in other declarations, motions or 22 responses. To the extent that Doc. No. 199 and 200 require any resolution beyond that addressed in

the undersigned's Report and Recommendation (Doc. No. 204), they are denied.

25 Dated: September 4, 2012

23

24

26

27

28

Nandor J. Vadas

United States Magistrate Judge